

POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

Name of policy:	Helping Farmers Sell Direct			
Person requesting costing:	Senator Milne			
Date of request to cost the policy:	14 August 2013			
Note: This policy costing request and the response to this request will be made publicly available.				
Has a costing of this policy been requested under Section 29 of the Charter of Budget Honesty (i.e. from the Treasury or the Department of Finance and Deregulation)?	No			
Details of the public release of this policy (Date, by whom and a reference to that release)	28 July 2013, Senator Milne http://christine-milne.greensmps.org.au/content/news-stories/helping-farmers-sell-direct			
Description of policy:				
Summary of policy (as applicable, please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	 The provision of \$85 million in grants over four years from 1 July 2014 for infrastructure and initiatives that connect farmers and local communities, such as: Starting farmers' markets, mobile markets and community food box schemes. Creating and supporting producer cooperatives. Fitting out local food hubs for packaging and value-adding local food, including for larger bulk supply. Creating and marketing a regional food brand. 			
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	More and more Australians want to "know their farmer" and buy food direct from local growers. Building local food supply chains will help our farmers get a fair price, create local jobs and connect communities to affordable healthy food.			
What are the key assumptions that have been made in the policy, including:				
Is the policy part of a package? If yes, list and outline components and interactions with proposed or existing policies.	No			
Where relevant, is funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?	Capped amount of \$85 million over four years			
Will third parties (for instance the States/Territories) have a role in funding or delivering the policy?	No			

PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

If yes, is the Australian Government contribution capped, with additional costs to be met by third parties, or is another funding formula envisaged?				
Are there associated savings, offsets or expenses? If yes, please provide details.	No			
Does the policy relate to a previous budget measure?	No			
If yes, which measure?				
If the proposal would change an existing measure, are savings expected from the departmental costs of implementing the program?	No			
Will the funding/program cost require indexation?	No			
If yes, list factors to be used.				
Expected impacts of the proposal				
If applicable, what are the estimated cobelow. Are these provided on an unde	•		-	the table
Estimated financial implications (ou	tturn prices) ^(a)			
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Underlying cash balance (\$m)	0	-25	-20	-20
Fiscal balance (\$m)	0	-25	-20	-20
(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number in the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.				
What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)?	N/A			
Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?	PBO costing, 6 November 2012			
What is the expected community impact of the policy?	It will be easier for people to get healthy food and farmers will get a fair price for their produce.			
How many people will be affected				

PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

What is the likely take up?				
What is the basis for these impact assessments/assumptions?				
Administration of policy:				
Who will administer the policy (for example, Australian Government entity, the States, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry and/or Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities			
Should departmental expenses associated with this policy be included in this costing?	Yes, absorbed with the \$85 million.			
If no, will the Department be expected to absorb expenses associated with this policy?				
If yes, please specify the key assumptions, including whether departmental costs are expected with respect to program management (by policy agencies) and additional transactions/processing (by service delivery agencies).				
Intended date of implementation.	1 July 2014			
Intended duration of policy.	Four years			
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	No			
List major data sources utilised to develop policy (for example, ABS cat. no. 3201.0).				
Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?	No			

NOTE:

Please note that:

- The costing will be on the basis of information provided in this costing request.
- The PBO is not bound to accept the assumptions provided by the requestor. If there is a material difference in the assumptions used by the PBO, the PBO will consult with the requestor in advance of the costing being completed.