



**POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A
GENERAL ELECTION**

Name of policy:	Energy Efficiency Grants for Farmers
Person requesting costing:	Senator Milne
Date of request to cost the policy:	14 August 2013
<i>Note: This policy costing request and the response to this request will be made publicly available.</i>	
Has a costing of this policy been requested under Section 29 of the Charter of Budget Honesty (i.e. from the Treasury or the Department of Finance and Deregulation)?	No
Details of the public release of this policy (Date, by whom and a reference to that release)	16 July 2013, Senator Milne http://greensmps.org.au/content/news-stories/lowering-farm-energy-bills
Description of policy:	
Summary of policy (as applicable, please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	A grant programme to fund farmers upgrading capital equipment to improve energy efficiency of equipment, such as irrigation pumps or cold stores, irrigation pumps, or installation of on-farm renewable energy systems to provide the energy for high energy intensity activities such as irrigation systems, packaging and processing equipment, water heating and sterilisation
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	To help farmers lower their costs, strengthen the food supply chain, lower Australia's greenhouse gas emissions and build the clean green reputation of Australian agriculture.
What are the key assumptions that have been made in the policy, including:	
Is the policy part of a package? If yes, list and outline components and interactions with proposed or existing policies.	These grants for farmers will be an extension of the Clean Technology Food and Foundry Grants Program, which has offered similar grants to food manufacturers.
Where relevant, is funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?	Capped at \$100 million.
Will third parties (for instance the States/Territories) have a role in funding or delivering the policy? If yes, is the Australian Government contribution capped, with additional costs to be met by third parties, or is another funding formula envisaged?	No

**PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR
A GENERAL ELECTION**

Are there associated savings, offsets or expenses? If yes, please provide details.	No			
Does the policy relate to a previous budget measure? If yes, which measure?	These grants for farmers will be an extension of the Clean Technology Food and Foundry Grants Program, which offered similar grants to food manufacturers.			
If the proposal would change an existing measure, are savings expected from the departmental costs of implementing the program?	No			
Will the funding/program cost require indexation? If yes, list factors to be used.	No			
Expected impacts of the proposal				
If applicable, what are the estimated costs each year? If available, please provide details in the table below. Are these provided on an underlying cash balance or fiscal balance basis?				
Estimated financial implications (outturn prices) ^(a)				
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Underlying cash balance (\$m)	0	-13	-43	-36
Fiscal balance (\$m)	0	-13	-43	-36
(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number in the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.				
What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)?	N/A			
Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?	Yes, by the PBO, 4 June 2013.			
What is the expected community impact of the policy? How many people will be affected by the policy? What is the likely take up? What is the basis for these impact assessments/assumptions?	Horticulture and dairy are commonly accepted as the most energy intensive agricultural sub-sectors.			

PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

Administration of policy:	
Who will administer the policy (for example, Australian Government entity, the States, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Department of Industry, Innovation, Climate Change, Science, research and Tertiary Education
Should departmental expenses associated with this policy be included in this costing? If no, will the Department be expected to absorb expenses associated with this policy? If yes, please specify the key assumptions, including whether departmental costs are expected with respect to program management (by policy agencies) and additional transactions/processing (by service delivery agencies).	Yes
Intended date of implementation.	1 July 2014
Intended duration of policy.	Three years
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	No
List major data sources utilised to develop policy (for example, ABS cat. no. 3201.0).	
Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?	No
NOTE: <i>Please note that:</i> <i>The costing will be on the basis of information provided in this costing request.</i> <i>The PBO is not bound to accept the assumptions provided by the requestor. If there is a material difference in the assumptions used by the PBO, the PBO will consult with the requestor in advance of the costing being completed.</i>	